

Timely insights from portfolio managers and industry experts on key financial, economic and political issues.

The views expressed in these posts are those of the authors and are current only through the date stated. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions for Eaton Vance are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance strategy. The discussion herein is general in nature and is provided for informational purposes only. There is no guarantee as to its accuracy or completeness.

JANUARY 5, 2021

Markets and Economy

Fiscal policy likely to take center stage with Yellen at Treasury

Boston - The appointment of Janet Yellen as Treasury secretary by President-elect Joe Biden marks a milestone in what I believe has been a major trend since the 2008 global financial crisis: the blurring of monetary and fiscal policy.

Yellen, of course, was U.S. Federal Reserve Chair Jay Powell's immediate predecessor, and in my view, an excellent choice for Treasury secretary. Her experience within the Federal Reserve System also includes stints as Vice Chair of the Board of Governors and President of the San Francisco Fed.

Yellen's move from the Fed to the Treasury underscores how closely fiscal and monetary policy have been aligned in recent times. It also signals that in the Biden administration, the "heavy lifting" is more likely to come from fiscal policy, as monetary stimulus is pretty much tapped out.

For some context, it's useful to recall that central bank independence has been a relatively recent development. Going back hundreds of years, central banks typically were created to help governments fund wars or empire-building. While the Fed was created in 1913, it didn't have an independent monetary policy until the Treasury-Fed accord of 1951. Before then, the Fed was actively involved in financing U.S. efforts in both World Wars, and kept Treasury rates pegged at acceptable levels through its purchases in peacetime.

Central bank independence is usually associated with politically unpopular decisions to tighten monetary policy in fighting inflation or as a counterweight to government spending, particularly before an election. This was common from the late 1970s through the 1990s in a number of countries.

Blurring fiscal and monetary policies

The dynamic is different in times of economic crisis, however, as policymakers on both fiscal and monetary sides work together — there is a natural blurring of efforts to mitigate the damage. For example, programs enacted by Congress and administered by the Treasury and the Fed were key to the recovery efforts after 2008 and also last March during the height of the COVID-19 market turbulence.

As a classical Chicago-trained economist, I believe a distinct line between fiscal and monetary policy is valuable, and has proven its worth over the years. But politically, the blurring of the lines is inevitable. Another clear sign of this is that Fed Governor Lael Brainard was reported to be the number two pick for Treasury secretary, and has been rumored as a possible successor to Fed Chair Powell if he is not reappointed in 2022.

I think it's reasonable to anticipate that fairly aggressive fiscal policy would be pursued by a Yellen Treasury. Monetary tools are having diminished impact, with



Eric Stein, CFA
Chief Investment Officer
Fixed Income
Eaton Vance
Management

"Yellen's move from the Fed to the Treasury underscores how closely fiscal and monetary policy have been aligned in recent times. It also signals that in the Biden administration, the 'heavy lifting' is more likely to come from fiscal policy, as monetary stimulus is pretty much tapped out."

interest rates at all-time lows and the Fed's balance sheet swollen to an unprecedented degree. Also, monetary stimulus powered market assets to record heights without doing much to help the real economy, and one result was worsening wealth inequality. Yellen is in an excellent position to devise appropriate fiscal policy to address that, especially given her expertise and philosophical background.

With so much slack in the economy, I expect the near-term inflationary impact of greater fiscal stimulus to be minimal, but it could pose a problem when growth nears capacity constraints. Along those lines, it's also worth keeping an eye on the debate over Modern Monetary Theory (MMT), which essentially holds that governments can run deficits as high as needed without costs, as long as inflation isn't extremely high or low at the time.

Economist Stephanie Kelton, an adviser to the Bernie Sanders campaign, is one of MMT's chief proponents. I believe it's a mistake to dismiss the long-run potential harm of ever-increasing deficits — they will grow large enough even without the support of a theory that contends they pose no threat.

Bottom line: Fiscal policy is likely to grow in importance during Yellen's tenure as Treasury secretary, with the potential to provide more effective stimulus than monetary policy, while helping to reduce wealth inequality.

[Accessibility](#) | [Privacy & Security](#) | [Terms & Conditions](#) | [Form CRS](#) | [Contact](#)

Eaton Vance is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley

The information on this Web site is for U.S. residents only. The information on this Web site does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to purchase, securities in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is not lawful to make such an offer.

There are no guarantees regarding the achievement of investment objectives, target returns, portfolio construction, allocations or measurements such as alpha, tracking error, stock weightings and other information ratios. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors. Not all of Eaton Vance's recommendations have been or will be profitable. Eaton Vance does not provide tax or legal advice. Investing entails risks and there can be no assurance that Eaton Vance (and its brands) will achieve profits or avoid incurring losses. Prospective investors should consult with a tax or legal advisor before making any investment decision.

NOT FDIC INSURED | OFFER NO BANK GUARANTEE | MAY LOSE VALUE | NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY | NOT A DEPOSIT

© Eaton Vance Management. All rights reserved. Two International Place, Boston, MA 02110