

The views expressed in these posts are those of the authors and are current only through the date stated. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions for Eaton Vance are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance fund. The discussion herein is general in nature and is provided for informational purposes only. There is no guarantee as to its accuracy or completeness.

Unsere Emerging Markets und Global Income Inhalte sind auf Deutsch verfügbar.

本ページには、翻訳が無く原文のみが掲載されているブログの投稿も含まれております

[EMERGING MARKETS DEBT](#) | [INTERNATIONAL/GLOBAL](#)

Are EM Banks Susceptible to the Same Risks as Silicon Valley Bank?

By: Nikolai K. Dimitrov, CFA | April 6, 2023

New York - The recent collapse of Silicon Valley Bank (SVB) and Credit Suisse underscores the resilience of emerging markets (EM) banks, which operate in fundamentally different ways. While emerging markets are not immune to the heightened volatility in the macro economy from the recent bank failures and are subject to the heightened risks associated with investing in emerging markets compared to more developed economies, we believe wider contagion to EM banks is limited.

In this Q&A, we offer insights into the fundamental and structural differences between EM banks and highly specialized banks like SVB.

Could EM banks be potentially exposed to risks similar to those experienced by Silicon Valley Bank?

We believe EM banks are unlikely to become exposed to similar risks. The EM investment universe comprises banks built on traditional universal banking models, including many with well-diversified deposit bases. SVB was a highly specialized lender whose fortune was strongly correlated with the ups-and-downs of a single industry.

Are EM banks vulnerable to a run-on-deposits scenario?

In our view, while all banks are potentially vulnerable to a run-on-deposits scenario, many EM banks have systematic and structural safeguards that help mitigate such risks. First, for many, the deposit base is well diversified. Second, a significant percentage of these deposits are insured by the local deposit insurance schemes.

It is also important to note that competition for deposits in many EM countries is also much less intense because a handful of banks hold most of the deposits. While gold can be a preferred medium of savings for many EM economies, investment alternatives to bank deposits, such as money markets, are quite limited.

Could EM banks rely on support from their governments and regulators?

We believe that EM governments will provide support to their largest banks in order to preserve economic and social stability in their countries. Since most deposits are held at a few banks in many EM countries, the sector is quite concentrated, which could present a systemic risk if these institutions are not supported.

EM banking is dominated by systemically important banks that are subject to rigorous regulatory oversight, scrutiny, and supervision. Basel III liquidity ratios, such as Liquidity Coverage Ratio (LCR) and Net Stable Funding Ratios (NSFR), have been introduced and mandated. LCR aims to promote the short-term resilience of the liquidity risk profile of banks, and NSFR seeks to reduce funding risk in the longer term. In the U.S., these requirements were removed for relatively smaller banks such as SVB.

Are EM banks exposed to large unrealized losses in their hold-to-maturity (HTM) portfolios?

In our view, most EM banks have small and manageable exposures to unrealized held-to-maturity (HTM) losses. EM banks' trading books are typically around 20% to 25% of total assets, which is less than half of SVB's securities portfolio, which represented about 57% of total assets. EM banks are also prone to take less duration risk, as most domestic government and corporate bonds in their markets are floating-rate assets, linked to either inflation or an interbank rate.

Long-term fixed-rate securities, such as those found in many developed world markets, are less common in emerging markets. EM banks are also more accustomed to the volatility of local policy rates, and rarely experience a prolonged zero-interest rate environment.

Could investors in EM banks bear similar losses to those experienced by holders of Credit Suisse's AT1 bonds before common shareholders do?

That depends on the local regulation. We believe it is possible that investors in EM banks could potentially face a scenario similar to Credit Suisse breaching the hierarchy of stakeholder claims. With the exception of Hong Kong and Singapore in bank resolution scenarios, EM

countries don't have bank resolution or liquidity event legislation that guarantees and protects the hierarchy of stakeholder claims.

Language in the prospectuses of EM bank AT1 and T2 bonds gives regulators the subjective right to trigger writedowns in a recapitalization effort during a liquidity event similar to the one experienced by Credit Suisse, even if the financial institution is solvent. Under the UBS acquisition, holders of Credit Suisse AT1 bonds will be fully written down, while common shareholders will receive \$3.23 billion.¹

Insolvency legislation in Hong Kong and Singapore protects the hierarchy of claims in the resolution of a bank, but this type of protection does not appear to extend to a liquidity event scenario.

Bottom line: The EM bank universe is composed of "national champions" with well-diversified, traditional banking models. Operating under a very different model and stricter regulations, we believe that EM banks are unlikely to be exposed to the same risks as SVB, but EM banks could face a breach of the hierarchy of stakeholder claims similar to Credit Suisse. While similar risks are limited, the intersection between sovereign and corporate analysis on the MSIM Emerging Markets Debt team is crucial to effectively navigate the wide dispersion among countries and credits in the EM universe.

¹ Source: Reuters as of 3/19/2023.

NOT FDIC INSURED | OFFER NO BANK GUARANTEE | MAY LOSE VALUE | NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY | NOT A DEPOSIT

Risk Considerations: *The value of investments may increase or decrease in response to economic and financial events (whether real or perceived) in the U.S. and global markets. Investments in foreign instruments or currencies can involve greater risk and volatility than U.S. investments because of adverse market, economic, political, regulatory, geopolitical, currency exchange rates or other conditions. In emerging or frontier countries, these risks may be more significant. Investments in debt instruments may be affected by changes in the creditworthiness of the issuer and are subject to the risk of non-payment of principal and interest. The value of income securities also may decline because of real or perceived concerns about the issuer's ability to make principal and interest payments. Exposure to derivatives involves risks different from, or possibly greater than, the risks associated with investing directly in securities and other investments. As interest rates rise, the value of certain income investments is likely to decline. The value of commodities investments will generally be affected by overall market movements and factors specific to a particular industry or commodity, including weather, embargoes, tariffs, or health, political, international and regulatory developments.*



Nikolai K. Dimitrov, CFA
Global Bank Analyst
Emerging Markets Debt

"The EM investment universe comprises banks built on traditional universal banking models, including many with well-diversified deposit bases."

Marketing Communication

To report a website vulnerability, please go to [Responsible Disclosure](#).

Eaton Vance is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley.

This site (www.eatonvance.jp) is operated by Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited ("We"). We are a limited company, registered in England and Wales under company number 4228294 and have our registered office at 125 Old Broad Street, London, EC2N 1AR. Our VAT number is 762717416.

Eaton Vance Management (International) Limited is authorised and regulated by the Financial Conduct Authority ("FCA") and is entered on the FCA's register with register number 208634.

The information on this webpage is not intended for U.S. residents. To visit our U.S. website [please click here](#).

Eaton Vance Management (Registration No. 1121368) and Parametric Portfolio Associates (Registration No. 1217626) are the registered trade marks of Eaton Vance.