

Election Cycle is Very Likely to Impact Stocks

By: Aaron Dunn, CFA | & Bradley Galko, CFA | February 14, 2024

KEY POINTS

1. During presidential election years over most of the last century, on average both U.S. large- and small-cap value have outperformed their growth peers.
2. Value vs. Growth's dominance in election years also correlates with U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) growth and detraction.
3. Incumbent candidates and parties keen to win reelection seek to make voters feel financially secure when heading to the polls.

As two thirds of global voters in democratically-elected governments go to the polls in 2024, politics are top of mind and will almost certainly impact the stock market this year. Kicking off the year, there is a major bifurcation in the market that leans heavily on general skepticism for global growth.

To set the stage, we look back at the last century of U.S. presidential elections and highlight a few notable statistics about historical markets during election years:

- On average, during presidential election years, both U.S. large and small-cap value outperform their growth peers¹ - in fact, large cap-value outperformed large-cap growth in 17 of the past 23 election years, dating back to 1932.
- Zooming in on presidential elections between 1944 and 2020, U.S. gross domestic product (GDP) contracted in two of the last three quarters of the five of the six election years that value did not outperform.

Growth vs. Value Performance During Election Years

| Election Year | Large Growth Stocks (%) | Large Value Stocks (%) | Small Growth Stocks (%) | Small Value Stocks (%) |
|----------------|-------------------------|------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------|
| 1932 | -6.7 | -3.3 | -8.8 | 8.7 |
| 1936 | 26.5 | 46.5 | 32.5 | 84.2 |
| 1940 | -10.1 | -4.6 | -3.0 | -10.2 |
| 1944 | 15.7 | 40.1 | 41.1 | 47.9 |
| 1948 | 3.3 | 4.8 | -8.1 | -2.5 |
| 1952 | 13.0 | 19.5 | 8.0 | 8.4 |
| 1956 | 7.1 | 3.3 | 6.7 | 6.5 |
| 1960 | -2.6 | -7.9 | -2.7 | -6.2 |
| 1964 | 14.4 | 19.0 | 8.4 | 23.8 |
| 1968 | 3.8 | 27.0 | 32.4 | 46.0 |
| 1972 | 21.4 | 18.0 | 0.5 | 6.9 |
| 1976 | 17.3 | 44.0 | 38.4 | 60.6 |
| 1980 | 35.4 | 16.4 | 52.9 | 21.8 |
| 1984 | -0.6 | 16.5 | -14.0 | 8.0 |
| 1988 | 12.7 | 26.2 | 14.6 | 30.8 |
| 1992 | 6.2 | 24.5 | 4.5 | 35.3 |
| 1996 | 21.8 | 22.8 | 9.8 | 25.3 |
| 2000 | -13.0 | 29.9 | -24.4 | 24.9 |
| 2004 | 8.3 | 20.2 | 15.5 | 18.5 |
| 2008 | -34.1 | -38.9 | -39.9 | -34.0 |
| 2012 | 15.1 | 28.5 | 15.0 | 21.5 |
| 2016 | 9.0 | 26.0 | 7.9 | 36.6 |
| 2020 | 36.2 | -3.4 | 58.4 | 3.4 |
| <i>Average</i> | <i>8.7</i> | <i>16.3</i> | <i>10.7</i> | <i>20.3</i> |
| <i>Median</i> | <i>9.0</i> | <i>19.5</i> | <i>8.0</i> | <i>21.5</i> |


Source: Strategas, Fama-French Growth & Value Series

In 13 of the above elections, an incumbent candidate was running for reelection. Large value stocks underperformed large growth stocks only in 1972 and 1980 during those 13 years.

Economic growth is very important in the election cycle. Incumbent candidates and parties keen to win reelection seek to make voters feel financially secure when heading to the polls. Parties in office will, therefore, attempt to stimulate growth as much as possible through fiscal spending and possibly even monetary policy. Historically, this stimulation can be positive for both cyclical and small-cap stocks.

This phenomenon could be impactful for the market in a year such as 2024, potentially driving a broadening of the equity market beyond mega-cap companies. In our view, we are now back to prior extreme peaks in valuation for some of these companies and excessive narrowness in the market. Elsewhere, while there was multiple expansion during 2023, cyclical sectors (such as consumer discretion, energy, basic materials and financials) lacked notable momentum.

At An Extreme: Nasdaq 100 Stock Index & Bloomberg Commodity Spot Index



Source: Bloomberg, as of February 12, 2024. The Nasdaq 100 has traded so strongly over the last year (and vice versa for the Commodity Index), and they are at an extreme valuation difference due to narrowness/stretched valuations in growth currently in the market.

While history does not always repeat itself, it typically has some valuable lessons. As we have written in a previous blog about how the Magnificent Seven² have indeed lived up to their name, we believe much of this is due to recency bias and hopes for the future potential of artificial intelligence (AI). With the Magnificent Seven now at stretched valuations, and given the history of election years and spending, a balanced allocation likely makes sense.

We do not believe a rising tide will potentially lift all boats here. As earnings revisions fall into place, selectivity and having a well-defined thesis is essential. Our Opportunistic Value investment philosophy focuses on quality, leading companies that are mispriced or misunderstood by the market and are trading at a discount to their intrinsic value.

Bottom Line: We believe 2024 has several unique components to it, including a U.S. presidential election and the Federal Reserve's continued balancing act between maintaining inflation and growing the economy. Active management becomes more essential in an environment with many different drivers at play, in navigating and finding true potential opportunities in this unprecedented environment.

¹ "Growth" and "Value" defined by Fama French, which uses attributes that define both elements, rather than index provider definitions. Fama and French Three-Factor Model (or Fama French Model for short) is an asset pricing model developed in 1992 that expands on the capital asset pricing model (CAPM) by adding size risk and value risk factors to the market risk factor in CAPM.

² Magnificent Seven refers to Alphabet, Amazon, Apple, Meta Platforms, Microsoft, Nvidia, and Tesla.

Risk Considerations: The value of investments may increase or decrease in response to economic, and financial events (whether real, expected or perceived) in the U.S. and global markets. The value of equity securities is sensitive to stock market volatility. Diversification does not eliminate the risk of loss.

Forecasts and/or estimates provided herein are subject to change and may not actually come to pass.

Correlation is a statistical measure of how two securities move in relation to each other.

Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only and is not intended to represent historical or to predict future performance of any specific investment or strategy. Past performance is not a guarantee of future results.

Nasdaq 100 Stock Index is a collection of the 100 largest, most actively traded companies listed on the Nasdaq stock exchange.

Bloomberg Commodity Spot Index measures the price movements of commodities included in the Bloomberg CI and select subindexes.



Aaron Dunn, CFA
Co-Head of Value Equity
Portfolio Manager
Eaton Vance Equity



Bradley Galko, CFA
Co-Head of Value Equity
Portfolio Manager
Eaton Vance Equity

"Incumbent candidates and parties keen to win reelection seek to make voters feel financially secure when heading to the polls. Parties in office will, therefore, attempt to stimulate growth as much as possible through fiscal spending and possibly even monetary policy. Historically, this stimulation can be positive for both cyclical and small-cap stocks."

The views expressed in these posts are those of the authors and are current only through the date stated. These views are subject to change at any time based upon market or other conditions, and Eaton Vance disclaims any responsibility to update such views. These views may not be relied upon as investment advice and, because investment decisions for Eaton Vance are based on many factors, may not be relied upon as an indication of trading intent on behalf of any Eaton Vance strategy. The discussion herein is general in nature and is provided for informational purposes only. There is no guarantee as to its accuracy or completeness.



To report a website vulnerability, please go to [Responsible Disclosure](#).

Eaton Vance is part of Morgan Stanley Investment Management, the asset management division of Morgan Stanley.

For USA PATRIOT Act Disclosure Notice please click [here](#).

The information on this Web site is for U.S. residents only. The information on this Web site does not constitute an offer to sell, or a solicitation of an offer to purchase, securities in any jurisdiction to any person to whom it is not lawful to make such an offer.

There are no guarantees regarding the achievement of investment objectives, target returns, portfolio construction, allocations or measurements such as alpha, tracking error, stock weightings and other information ratios. The views and strategies described may not be suitable for all investors. Not all of Eaton Vance's recommendations have been or will be profitable. Eaton Vance does not provide tax or legal advice. Investing entails risks and there can be no assurance that Eaton Vance (and its affiliates) will achieve profits or avoid incurring losses. Prospective investors should consult with a tax or legal advisor before making any investment decision.

NOT FDIC INSURED | OFFER NO BANK GUARANTEE | MAY LOSE VALUE | NOT INSURED BY ANY FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY | NOT A DEPOSIT

© Eaton Vance Management. All rights reserved. One Post Office Square, Boston, MA 02110.